

Quasi-exactly solvable models in nonlinear optics

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2002 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 35 8705

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/35/41/305>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.109

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 10:33

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

Quasi-exactly solvable models in nonlinear optics

G Álvarez, F Finkel, A González-López and M A Rodríguez

Departamento de Física Teórica II, Facultad de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad Complutense,
28040 Madrid, Spain

Received 3 September 2002

Published 1 October 2002

Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/35/8705

Abstract

We study a large class of models with an arbitrary (finite) number of degrees of freedom, described by Hamiltonians which are polynomial in bosonic creation and annihilation operators, and including as particular cases n th harmonic generation and photon cascades. For each model, we construct a complete set of commuting integrals of motion of the Hamiltonian, fully characterize the common eigenspaces of the integrals of motion and show that the action of the Hamiltonian on these common eigenspaces can be represented by a quasi-exactly solvable reduced Hamiltonian, whose expression in terms of the usual generators of \mathfrak{sl}_2 is computed explicitly.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Fd, 42.65.Ky

1. Introduction

Dating back at least to 1990, when Zaslavskii [1] pointed out the relation between some quasi-exactly solvable (QES) systems [2–7] and the Dicke and Heisenberg models, there has been an ongoing interest in the correspondence between the exactly calculable part of the spectrum of QES systems and some finite-dimensional systems such as the spin systems discussed by Zaslavskii or the effective Hamiltonians frequently used in nonlinear optics.

In essence, Zaslavskii's method consisted in making a suitable ansatz for the coefficients of the eigenvectors of each 'multiplet' of the spin system, coefficients which could be grouped into a generating function that turned out to be related by elementary transformations to the wavefunction of the QES system.

In 1995, Álvarez and Álvarez-Estrada [8] studied the usual second-harmonic generation effective Hamiltonian by showing its equivalence to an infinite family of one-dimensional QES systems. In their method, the transition from the 'discrete' photon system to the 'continuous' QES systems is achieved by first transforming the effective Hamiltonian from the second quantization to the Bargmann representation. The key idea for separating variables and identifying the one-dimensional QES systems—the second step of their procedure—is to use as a variable an appropriate quotient of powers of the Bargmann variables that describe each

oscillator. (Later, the same authors [9] used this method to study the problem of third-harmonic generation and pointed out that it works in general for the n th harmonic generation.)

Two recent papers by Dolya and Zaslavskii [10, 11] proceed in a related but different direction: they study models with a single degree of freedom whose Hamiltonians are even polynomials in the creation and annihilation operators, and show that under certain conditions these Hamiltonians also lead to a QES system.

Finally, an important idea of our work can be traced back to the early papers on QES models by Ushveridze and Zaslavskii, and appears with more or less emphasis in [1, 6, 8, 9, 11]: the role played by integrals of motion to reduce completely integrable Hamiltonians with more than one degree of freedom to a family of QES systems.

In this paper, we study a large class of models with an arbitrary (finite) number of degrees of freedom described by Hamiltonians which are polynomial in the creation and annihilation operators. These models include as particular cases the effective Hamiltonians of the n th harmonic generation [12, 13], as well as photon cascades of equal or different frequencies [14], which, to the best of the authors' knowledge, have not been analysed before as QES systems. For each model, we first construct a complete set of commuting integrals of motion of the Hamiltonian. We use the Bargmann representation to completely characterize the common eigenspaces of the integrals of motion, which are of course invariant under the Hamiltonian. We show that the action of the Hamiltonian on these common eigenspaces can be represented by a QES-reduced Hamiltonian, whose expression in terms of the usual generators of \mathfrak{sl}_2 is computed explicitly. We emphasize that the derivation of this explicit expression is not just an exercise of academic interest but the starting point for the application of asymptotic methods to calculate the corresponding eigenvalues in the limit of a large number of photons. By way of example, we give explicitly the expressions for n th harmonic generation and N -photon cascades, which in the former case can be compared with the particular instances $n = 2, 3$ studied in [8, 9]. The paper ends with a brief summary.

2. The models

In this paper, we shall study the following general Hamiltonian:

$$H = H_0 + gH_1 \quad (1)$$

where

$$H_0 = \sum_{l=1}^N \nu_l a_l^\dagger a_l + \sum_{k=1}^M \mu_k b_k^\dagger b_k \quad \nu_l, \mu_k > 0 \quad N, M \in \mathbb{N} \quad (2)$$

$$H_1 = \prod_{k=1}^M (b_k^\dagger)^{m_k} \prod_{l=1}^N a_l^{n_l} + \prod_{l=1}^N (a_l^\dagger)^{n_l} \prod_{k=1}^M b_k^{m_k} \quad n_l, m_k \in \mathbb{N} \quad (3)$$

and the frequencies ν_l and μ_k are subject to the energy-conservation constraint

$$\sum_{l=1}^N n_l \nu_l = \sum_{k=1}^M m_k \mu_k. \quad (4)$$

In the preceding expressions, the operators a_l, b_k (a_l^\dagger, b_k^\dagger) represent boson annihilation (creation) operators with frequencies ν_l, μ_k , respectively. Hamiltonian (1) is an effective model describing the conversion of a number of photons of frequencies ν_1, \dots, ν_N into photons of frequencies μ_1, \dots, μ_M . It includes as particular cases the usual processes of the n th harmonic generation (if $N = M = m_1 = 1, n_1 = n$) and multiple photon cascades

(if $M = m_1 = n_1 = \dots = n_N = 1$), which have recently received considerable attention in the literature [12–14].

A key property common to all these models is that they are *completely integrable* in the sense that there exists a set of $N + M$ (the number of degrees of freedom) pairwise commuting, functionally independent operators including the Hamiltonian. These sets are clearly not unique. We have found it convenient to take as our set

$$\{H_0, H_1, A_l, B_k \mid l = 1, \dots, N - 1; k = 1, \dots, M - 1\} \tag{5}$$

which includes the unperturbed Hamiltonian H_0 and the perturbation H_1 separately, and where

$$A_l = n_{l+1} a_l^\dagger a_l - n_l a_{l+1}^\dagger a_{l+1} \tag{6}$$

$$B_k = m_{k+1} b_k^\dagger b_k - m_k b_{k+1}^\dagger b_{k+1}. \tag{7}$$

A straightforward computation using the standard bosonic commutation relations shows that these operators commute pairwise, and we shall see below that they are in fact functionally independent. As a consequence, there exists a basis of common eigenfunctions of all the operators in the set. We will see in the next section that it is possible to give an explicit description of the simultaneous eigenspaces of H_0, A_l and B_k (the ‘unperturbed eigenspaces’). By studying the action of H_1 within these subspaces, in the next section we shall establish the exact solvability of the model (1)–(4) and derive some general properties of its spectrum.

3. Invariant subspaces and matrix representation

Following [8], we shall study the spectrum of Hamiltonian (1) using the Bargmann representation [15]. In this representation, the Hilbert space for a single boson is the space of entire functions of the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{\sqrt{n!}} z^n \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \tag{8}$$

where the complex numbers c_n are such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 < \infty \tag{9}$$

and the scalar product is defined by

$$(g, f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} d(\text{Re } z) d(\text{Im } z) \overline{g(z)} f(z) e^{-|z|^2}. \tag{10}$$

The orthonormal harmonic oscillator eigenstates $|n\rangle$ are given in this representation by

$$|n\rangle \rightarrow \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{n!}} \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \tag{11}$$

and the annihilation and creation operators are represented by derivation with respect to z and multiplication by z , respectively

$$a \rightarrow \frac{d}{dz} \quad a^\dagger \rightarrow z. \tag{12}$$

The Hilbert space \mathcal{H} of model (1) is the tensor product of $N + M$ single boson Hilbert spaces. Denoting by x_l ($l = 1, \dots, N$) and y_k ($k = 1, \dots, M$) the complex variables associated with ‘ a ’ and ‘ b ’ degrees of freedom, respectively, we have the following assignments:

$$a_l \rightarrow \partial_{x_l} \quad a_l^\dagger \rightarrow x_l \quad b_k \rightarrow \partial_{y_k} \quad b_k^\dagger \rightarrow y_k. \tag{13}$$

A basis of the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} of our models is the set of (in general unnormalized) monomials

$$x^i y^j \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_+^M \quad (14)$$

where \mathbb{Z}_+ denotes the set of nonnegative integers, and we have used the multi-index notation

$$x^i \equiv \prod_{l=1}^N x_l^{i_l} \quad y^j \equiv \prod_{k=1}^M y_k^{j_k}. \quad (15)$$

The corresponding expressions for the operators in set (5) are

$$H_0 = \sum_{l=1}^N v_l x_l \partial_{x_l} + \sum_{k=1}^M \mu_k y_k \partial_{y_k} \quad (16)$$

$$H_1 = y^m \partial_x^n + x^n \partial_y^m \quad (17)$$

$$A_l = n_{l+1} x_l \partial_{x_l} - n_l x_{l+1} \partial_{x_{l+1}} \quad (18)$$

$$B_k = m_{k+1} y_k \partial_{y_k} - m_k y_{k+1} \partial_{y_{k+1}} \quad (19)$$

where x^n and y^m are monomials as in equation (15) and

$$\partial_x^n \equiv \prod_{l=1}^N \partial_{x_l}^{n_l} \quad \partial_y^m \equiv \prod_{k=1}^M \partial_{y_k}^{m_k}. \quad (20)$$

(In the rest of the paper we shall make frequent use of the multi-index notation without further notice whenever there are no ambiguities in the interpretation of the formulae.)

We first note that each monomial (14) is a common eigenfunction of the operators H_0 , A_l , B_k , $l = 1, \dots, N-1$, $k = 1, \dots, M-1$, with eigenvalues, respectively, given by

$$E_0 = \sum_{l=1}^N v_l i_l + \sum_{k=1}^M \mu_k j_k \quad (21)$$

$$\alpha_l = n_{l+1} i_l - n_l i_{l+1} \quad l = 1, \dots, N-1 \quad (22)$$

$$\beta_k = m_{k+1} j_k - m_k j_{k+1} \quad k = 1, \dots, M-1. \quad (23)$$

The spectrum of Hamiltonian (1) can thus be computed by diagonalizing the perturbation Hamiltonian H_1 in the common eigenspaces $\mathcal{S}_{E_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N-1}, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{M-1}}$, spanned by the monomials (14) whose exponents satisfy equations (21)–(23) for fixed values of $E_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \beta_{M-1}$.

We shall now describe the common eigenspaces $\mathcal{S}_{E_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N-1}, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{M-1}} \equiv \mathcal{S}$. Since the unperturbed energy E_0 is a finite sum of nonnegative terms, it follows that \mathcal{S} is finite dimensional. Thus, model (1) is *exactly solvable*, since its whole spectrum can be computed algebraically.

Let $x^p y^q$ be a given (fixed) monomial in \mathcal{S} . We shall first prove that \mathcal{S} is spanned by monomials of the form

$$f_s = x^p y^q \zeta^s \quad (24)$$

where

$$\zeta = \frac{x^n}{y^m} \quad (25)$$

and s ranges over a finite interval $s_0 \leq s \leq s_1$ of \mathbb{Z} .

Indeed, let $x^{p'} y^{q'}$ be any other monomial in \mathcal{S} . The quotient $Q = x^{p'-p} y^{q'-q}$ is then a *generalized monomial* (i.e. a monomial with possibly negative integer exponents) and thus

may not belong to \mathcal{H} . However, the action of the operators (16)–(19) on such generalized monomials is well defined, and a simple calculation shows that Q satisfies the system of first-order partial differential equations

$$H_0Q = A_1Q = \dots = A_{N-1}Q = B_1Q = \dots = B_{M-1}Q = 0. \tag{26}$$

In other words, Q must be a joint invariant of the $M + N - 1$ commuting vector fields H_0, A_l, B_k . A second straightforward computation shows that the function ζ defined in (25) is a joint invariant of this set of vector fields. Since the number of independent variables is $N + M$, the general solution of system (26) is an arbitrary (smooth) function of ζ , and since Q is a generalized monomial, it must be a power of ζ , which proves the first part of our claim.

Since \mathcal{S} is finite dimensional, the values of s for which f_s lies in \mathcal{S} must be bounded above and below. If

$$s_0 = \min\{s \in \mathbb{Z} : f_s \in \mathcal{S}\} \quad s_1 = \max\{s \in \mathbb{Z} : f_s \in \mathcal{S}\} \tag{27}$$

we shall next prove that

$$\mathcal{S} = \langle f_s \mid s_0 \leq s \leq s_1 \rangle \tag{28}$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes the linear span. Indeed, since clearly

$$H_0f_s = E_0f_s \quad A_l f_s = \alpha_l f_s \quad B_k f_s = \beta_k f_s \tag{29}$$

for all integer values of s , it suffices to verify that for all $s \in [s_0, s_1] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ the exponents of f_s are all nonnegative integers. Since $s \in [s_0, s_1]$ and both f_{s_0} and f_{s_1} belong to \mathcal{S} , this follows from the inequalities

$$p_l + n_l s \geq p_l + n_l s_0 \geq 0 \quad q_k - m_k s \geq q_k - m_k s_1 \geq 0. \tag{30}$$

This completes the proof of our claim.

Note that (27) and the inequalities (30) imply that the bounds s_0 and s_1 are given by

$$s_0 = \max \left\{ - \left[\frac{p_l}{n_l} \right] : 1 \leq l \leq N \right\} \quad s_1 = \min \left\{ \left[\frac{q_k}{m_k} \right] : 1 \leq k \leq M \right\} \tag{31}$$

where $[\cdot]$ denotes the integer part. Given that

$$\mathcal{N}_l \equiv p_l + s_0 n_l \geq 0 \quad \mathcal{M}_k \equiv q_k - s_0 m_k \geq 0 \tag{32}$$

the eigenspace \mathcal{S} can be alternatively written as

$$\mathcal{S} \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{N}} = x^{\mathcal{N}} y^{\mathcal{M}} \langle 1, \zeta, \dots, \zeta^r \rangle \tag{33}$$

where

$$r = \min \left\{ \left[\frac{\mathcal{M}_k}{m_k} \right] : 1 \leq k \leq M \right\} \tag{34}$$

and the nonnegative integers \mathcal{N}_l and \mathcal{M}_k are subject to the single restriction

$$\mathcal{N}_l < n_l \quad \text{for at least one } l \in \{1, \dots, N\} \tag{35}$$

which is an immediate consequence of the definition of s_0 . The set of $N + M$ nonnegative integers $\{\mathcal{N}_1, \dots, \mathcal{N}_N, \mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_M\}$ subject to condition (35) define uniquely the eigenspace \mathcal{S} . Indeed, \mathcal{N}_l is the minimum power of x_l and \mathcal{M}_k is the maximum power of y_k of the monomials in \mathcal{S} . We have thus proved the main result of this section.

Theorem 1. *The common eigenspaces of the operators $H_0, A_l, B_k, l = 1, \dots, N - 1, k = 1, \dots, M - 1$, are the spaces $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{N}}$ given in equations (33)–(35).*

Since these eigenspaces $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{N}}$ are invariant under H_1 (because this operator commutes with H_0 and A_l, B_k for all l, k), we devote the next paragraphs to study the corresponding action.

Consider the basis \mathcal{B} of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{N}}$ spanned by the normalized vectors

$$e_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{C_s}} x^{\mathcal{N}+sn} y^{\mathcal{M}-sm} \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, r \tag{36}$$

where (see equation (11))

$$C_s = \prod_{l=1}^N (\mathcal{N}_l + n_l s)! \prod_{k=1}^M (\mathcal{M}_k - m_k s)! \tag{37}$$

A straightforward computation using equations (17), (36) and (37) yields

$$H_1 e_s = \sqrt{\frac{C_{s-1}}{C_s}} \prod_{l=1}^N (\mathcal{N}_l + n_l s) \cdots (\mathcal{N}_l + n_l (s-1) + 1) e_{s-1} + \sqrt{\frac{C_{s+1}}{C_s}} \prod_{k=1}^M (\mathcal{M}_k - m_k s) \cdots (\mathcal{M}_k - m_k (s+1) + 1) e_{s+1} \tag{38}$$

Thus, the matrix H_1 representing H_1 in the basis \mathcal{B} is tridiagonal, Hermitian and has zero diagonal entries. The only nonzero entries of H_1 are given by

$$(H_1)_{s+1,s} = \left(\prod_{l=1}^N \prod_{j_l=0}^{n_l-1} (\mathcal{N}_l + n_l s + j_l + 1) \prod_{k=1}^M \prod_{i_k=0}^{m_k-1} (\mathcal{M}_k - m_k s - i_k) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (H_1)_{s,s+1} \tag{39}$$

with $s = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$.

A number of immediate conclusions can be drawn from the structure of the matrix H_1 . We note in the first place that H_1 acts irreducibly in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{N}}$. This implies that H_1 is functionally independent from H_0 , A_l and B_k for all k, l . Since $x^n y^m$ is annihilated by all A_l and B_k but not by H_0 , the latter operator is functionally independent of the former ones. Since all A_l and B_k are clearly functionally independent, the preceding arguments show the functional independence of all the operators in set (5) and finish the proof of the complete integrability claimed at the end of section 2.

Secondly, the eigenvalues of H_1 are real, simple and symmetrically distributed around zero. Indeed, let $\delta_s(E)$, $1 \leq s \leq r+1$, be the s th principal minor of the matrix $E\mathbb{1} - H_1$. A straightforward computation shows that $\delta_s(E)$ satisfies the three-term recursion relation

$$\delta_{s+1}(E) = E\delta_s(E) - h_s^2 \delta_{s-1}(E) \quad s \geq 1 \tag{40}$$

where $h_s = (H_1)_{s,s-1}$ and $\delta_1(E) = E$, $\delta_0(E) = 1$. Since $h_s^2 > 0$ for $1 \leq s \leq r$, lemma 1 (section 1.8) of [16] implies that the roots of the characteristic polynomial $\delta_{r+1}(E)$ are real and simple. They are also symmetrically distributed around zero, for $\delta_{r+1}(E)$ contains only either even or odd powers of E on account of the form of the recursion relation (40).

Remark. Model (1) admits certain solvable (and in some cases integrable) generalizations. In the first place, we can replace gH_1 by any polynomial in H_1 with real coefficients. Clearly, the resulting Hamiltonian is completely integrable, since it commutes with the operators (5). Moreover, this generalized Hamiltonian preserves the finite-dimensional subspaces $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{N}}$ and is, therefore, exactly solvable.

More generally, one can consider a perturbation Hamiltonian H'_1 consisting of a finite sum of terms of the form (3), provided that the exponents in each term satisfy condition (4). In other words,

$$H'_1 = \sum_{(n,m) \in S} g_{nm} \left(\prod_{k=1}^M (b_k^\dagger)^{m_k} \prod_{l=1}^N a_l^{n_l} + \prod_{l=1}^N (a_l^\dagger)^{n_l} \prod_{k=1}^M b_k^{m_k} \right) \tag{41}$$

where S is any finite subset of the set of all pairs $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N \times \mathbb{Z}_+^M$ satisfying condition (4). Note that H_0 still commutes with H'_1 , since it commutes separately with each term in the sum (41). Thus the spectrum of $H = H_0 + H'_1$ can be computed by diagonalizing the new perturbation Hamiltonian H'_1 in the eigenspaces of H_0 , which are finite dimensional by equation (21). Hence this more general model is also exactly solvable. Note, however, that now to each term in the sum (41) there correspond two families of operators $A_l \equiv A_l(n)$ and $B_k \equiv B_k(m)$ which do not necessarily commute with the remaining terms in H'_1 . Hence, this model need not be completely integrable for general values of the coupling constants g_{nm} .

4. Quasi-exact solvability of the reduced Hamiltonians

In the previous section, we have shown that the computation of the spectrum of Hamiltonian (1) is equivalent to the diagonalization of a family of tridiagonal Hermitian matrices with zero diagonal elements. For small values of $r + 1 = \dim \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{N}}$, the eigenvalues of the matrix H_1 representing H_1 can be easily computed in closed form. In many situations of physical interest, however, the number of photons of each frequency involved can actually be very large. This implies (see equation (34)) that the parameter r can also take large values. Although the spectrum of H_1 can be determined numerically for fixed values of the parameters $\mathcal{N}_l, \mathcal{M}_k$ labelling the space $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{N}}$, one is often interested in the behaviour of its eigenvalues as a function of $\mathcal{N}_l, \mathcal{M}_k$ (and thus r). In the case of second-harmonic generation, i.e. $N = M = m_1 = 1, n_1 = 2$, Álvarez and Álvarez-Estrada [8] derived asymptotic formulae for the eigenvalues of H_1 by performing a semiclassical analysis of the second-order ordinary differential equation (ODE) obtained by restricting the perturbation Hamiltonian H_1 to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}_1}^{\mathcal{N}_1} = \mathcal{S}_r^\epsilon$, where $\epsilon = 0, 1$. It was also shown in that paper that the restriction of H_1 to \mathcal{S}_r^ϵ is in fact equivalent to a QES operator in a single variable, in agreement with the previous results due to Zaslavskii [1].

In this section, we show that the restriction of our general perturbation H_1 to an eigenspace $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{N}}$ is also equivalent to a QES differential operator in a single variable. Besides the purely mathematical interest of this result, the knowledge of explicit expressions for the ordinary differential operator of the restriction $H_1|_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{N}}}$ is precisely the starting point for applying asymptotic techniques, which are currently being developed for third- and higher order ODEs.

Consider the action of H_1 on an element $x^{\mathcal{N}} y^{\mathcal{M}} P(\zeta) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{N}}$

$$H_1(x^{\mathcal{N}} y^{\mathcal{M}} P(\zeta)) = \prod_{k=1}^M y_k^{m_k + \mathcal{M}_k} \prod_{l=1}^N \partial_{x_l}^{n_l} (x_l^{\mathcal{N}_l} P(\zeta)) + \prod_{l=1}^N x_l^{n_l + \mathcal{N}_l} \prod_{k=1}^M \partial_{y_k}^{m_k} (y_k^{\mathcal{M}_k} P(\zeta)) \quad (42)$$

where P is a polynomial of degree at most r . Since

$$\partial_{x_l}^{n_l} (x_l^{\mathcal{N}_l} P(\zeta)) = x_l^{\mathcal{N}_l - n_l} \prod_{j_l=0}^{n_l-1} (\mathcal{N}_l - j_l + n_l \zeta \partial_\zeta) P(\zeta) \quad (43)$$

$$\partial_{y_k}^{m_k} (y_k^{\mathcal{M}_k} P(\zeta)) = y_k^{\mathcal{M}_k - m_k} \prod_{i_k=0}^{m_k-1} (\mathcal{M}_k - i_k - m_k \zeta \partial_\zeta) P(\zeta) \quad (44)$$

it follows that

$$H_1(x^{\mathcal{N}} y^{\mathcal{M}} P(\zeta)) = x^{\mathcal{N}} y^{\mathcal{M}} H_{1,\text{red}} P(\zeta) \quad (45)$$

where the reduced Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_{1,\text{red}} = \frac{1}{\zeta} \prod_{l=1}^N \prod_{j_l=0}^{n_l-1} (\mathcal{N}_l - j_l + n_l \zeta \partial_\zeta) + \zeta \prod_{k=1}^M \prod_{i_k=0}^{m_k-1} (\mathcal{M}_k - i_k - m_k \zeta \partial_\zeta). \quad (46)$$

Thus the action of $H_1|_{\mathcal{S}_M^N}$ is equivalent to that of $H_{1,\text{red}}$ on the space \mathcal{P}_r of polynomials in ζ of degree at most r . Note in particular that the invariance of \mathcal{S}_M^N under H_1 implies that \mathcal{P}_r is invariant under $H_{1,\text{red}}$ (this fact can also be verified directly from equation (46) using (34) and (35); see the discussion below).

Let $d = \max(\sum_{l=1}^N n_l, \sum_{k=1}^M m_k)$ be the order of the differential operator $H_{1,\text{red}}$. If $d \leq r$, a well-known theorem due to Turbiner [17] (see [18] for a simplified proof) states that the invariance of the polynomial space \mathcal{P}_r under $H_{1,\text{red}}$ implies that this operator can be written as a polynomial of degree d in the generators of the realization of \mathfrak{sl}_2 spanned by

$$J^+ = \zeta^2 \partial_\zeta - r\zeta \quad J^0 = \zeta \partial_\zeta - \frac{r}{2} \quad J^- = \partial_\zeta. \quad (47)$$

If $d > r$, Turbiner's theorem only guarantees that the r th order part of $H_{1,\text{red}}$ is a polynomial of degree d in the operators (47). In fact, even if $d > r$ the reduced Hamiltonian $H_{1,\text{red}}$ can be written as a polynomial of degree d in the generators (47). Indeed, choose $k' \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ and $l' \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that (see equations (34) and (35))

$$r = \left\lfloor \frac{\mathcal{M}_{k'}}{m_{k'}} \right\rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_{l'} < n_{l'}. \quad (48)$$

Since all the factors in the products of expression (46) commute, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{1,\text{red}} = & n^n J^- \prod_{j_{l'}=0, j_{l'} \neq \mathcal{N}_{l'}}^{n_{l'}-1} \left(J^0 + \frac{\mathcal{N}_{l'} - j_{l'}}{n_{l'}} + \frac{r}{2} \right) \prod_{l=1, l \neq l'}^N \prod_{j_l=0}^{n_l-1} \left(J^0 + \frac{\mathcal{N}_l - j_l}{n_l} + \frac{r}{2} \right) \\ & + (-m)^m J^+ \prod_{i_{k'}=0, i_{k'} \neq \mathcal{M}_{k'} \bmod m_{k'}}^{m_{k'}-1} \left(J^0 + \frac{i_{k'} - \mathcal{M}_{k'}}{m_{k'}} + \frac{r}{2} \right) \\ & \times \prod_{k=1, k \neq k'}^M \prod_{i_k=0}^{m_k-1} \left(J^0 + \frac{i_k - \mathcal{M}_k}{m_k} + \frac{r}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

This general expression of the differential operator $H_{1,\text{red}}$ as a polynomial in the generators of the \mathfrak{sl}_2 algebra is of course not unique, and can be written in different forms using the commutation relations of the generators (47). We conclude this section by showing explicitly the physically most important particular cases, in which the general expression (49) simplifies considerably.

Example 1. Consider the problem of n th harmonic generation, in which $N = M = m_1 = 1$, $n_1 = n$ (and, therefore, the multi-indices reduce to ordinary indices). The eigenspaces of H_0 are $\mathcal{S}_r^\epsilon = x^\epsilon y^r \mathcal{P}(\zeta)$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\epsilon = 0, \dots, n-1$, and $\zeta = x^n/y$. The corresponding expression for $H_{1,\text{red}}$ reads

$$H_{1,\text{red}} = n^n J^- \prod_{j=0, j \neq \epsilon}^{n-1} \left(J^0 + \frac{\epsilon - j}{n} + \frac{r}{2} \right) - J^+. \quad (50)$$

This expression can be easily shown to be equivalent to the expressions obtained in [8] for second-harmonic generation ($n = 2$) and in [9] for third-harmonic generation ($n = 3$) and $\epsilon = 0$ (incidentally, in [9] the cases $\epsilon = 1$ and 2 were not considered).

Example 2. In the case of a multiple photon cascade, $M = m_1 = n_1 = \dots = n_N = 1$, the eigenspaces of H_0 and A_l , $l = 1, \dots, N-1$, are $\mathcal{S}_r^N = x^{\mathcal{N}} y^r \mathcal{P}(\zeta)$, where $\mathcal{N}_{l'} = 0$ for some

$l' \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\zeta = (x_1 \cdots x_N)/y$. The expression for $H_{1,\text{red}}$ in terms of the generators (47) is

$$H_{1,\text{red}} = J^- \prod_{l=1, l \neq l'}^N \left(J^0 + \mathcal{N}_l + \frac{r}{2} \right) - J^+. \quad (51)$$

5. Summary

In this paper, we have established a common framework for dealing with a large class of processes in nonlinear optics including the problems of n th harmonic generation and multiple photon cascades. The distinguishing feature of these models from the physical point of view is the condition of energy conservation, which is the key mathematical condition for proving their complete integrability and the exact solvability. By using the Bargmann representation, we have been able to provide an explicit description of the common unperturbed eigenspaces, as well as equally explicit expressions of the action of the perturbation Hamiltonian on these unperturbed eigenspaces. We have also derived some general properties of the spectrum of the restriction of the perturbation H_1 to each unperturbed eigenspace, such as the nondegeneracy and symmetric distribution of the perturbation energies around zero. The link between these finite matrix representations and the corresponding continuous QES system is most conveniently established by the introduction of a new ‘projective’ coordinate, which is a quotient of powers of the Bargmann variables that describe the different oscillators (and physically carries information on the phase difference among the oscillators). Furthermore, we have been able to give explicit expressions of the reduced QES Hamiltonians as polynomials in the generators of the standard QES realization of \mathfrak{sl}_2 by first-order differential operators.

References

- [1] Zaslavskii O B 1990 *Phys. Lett. A* **149** 365
- [2] Zaslavskii O B and Ulyanov V V 1984 *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **87** 1724 (Engl. transl. 1984 *Sov. Phys.–JETP* **60** 991)
- [3] Zaslavskii O B and Ulyanov V V 1987 *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **71** 260 (Engl. transl. 1987 *Theor. Math. Phys.* **71** 520)
- [4] Turbiner A V 1988 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **118** 467
- [5] Shifman M A 1989 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **4** 2897
- [6] Ushveridze A G 1994 *Quasi-Exactly Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics* (Bristol: Institute of Physics Publishing)
- [7] González-López A, Kamran N and Olver P J 1994 *Contemp. Math.* **160** 113
- [8] Álvarez G and Álvarez-Estrada R F 1995 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **28** 5767
- [9] Álvarez G and Álvarez-Estrada R F 2001 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** 10045
- [10] Dolya S N and Zaslavskii O B 2000 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33** L369
- [11] Dolya S N and Zaslavskii O B 2001 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** 5955
- [12] Bajer J and Miranowicz A 2000 *J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt.* **2** L10
- [13] Klimov A B and Sánchez-Soto L L 2000 *Phys. Rev. A* **61** 063802
- [14] Karassiov V P, Gusev A A and Vinitsky S I 2001 *Preprint quant-ph/0105152*
- [15] Galindo A and Pascual P 1990 *Quantum Mechanics I* (Berlin: Springer)
- [16] Arscott F M 1964 *Periodic Differential Equations* (Oxford: Pergamon)
- [17] Turbiner A 1992 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **25** L1087
- [18] Finkel F and Kamran N 1998 *Adv. Appl. Math.* **20** 300